



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/511,776	02/24/2000	Roger K Craig	4256/86197 5653	
29933 7.	590 05/24/2004		EXAMINER	
	DODGE, LLP	GABEL, GAILENE		
KATHLEEN M. WILLIAMS 111 HUNTINGTON AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA 02199			1641	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/511,776	CRAIG ET AL.				
, i.e., j. 1. e.	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gailene R. Gabel	1641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 19 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application abandonment of this application abandment which	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>25 February 2004</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
<ul><li>(a)</li></ul>						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b						
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) 🛛 they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	l will be entered and an wor appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1,2,4-8 and 10-14</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.						
Claim(s) rejected: 19 and 20.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 15-18.						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. ☐ Other: Christyle L.	Chi. Espail 511	7/04				
CHRISTOPHER L. CHII PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800-769	N R					

Application No. 009/511,776

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)**

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claims 19 and 20 were amended to include that the first binding partner is "a single chain antibody or ScFv" which raises new issues requiring further consideration and search under the provisions of 35 USC 102 or 103. Additionally, new claims 23 and 24 also include new limitations which raise new issues requiring further consideration and search under the provisions of 35 USC 112, 102, and 103.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The new limitations in claims 19 and 20 and new claims 23 and 24 set forth new requirements that have not been previously considered, search, and evaluated for definiteness, scope, novelty or non-obviousness, and patentability.